



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

and illustrating Probable and Remote Cause, the editor classifies the decisions into the Effect of Intervention of Third Persons, of Plaintiff, of Defendant, of Forces of Nature, Tendency to Disease, Fires, and The Act of God. The decisions cited and quoted upon the law applicable to Carriers of Passengers, of Goods, and to Sleeping-Car Companies, constitute an epitome of the legal lore upon those subjects. The text upon Contributory Negligence, Neglect of Duty by Attorney and by Fellow-Servants, is most completely illustrated. The editors have added a very useful classification of the cases upon the Liability of Municipal Corporations with Respect to its Highways. The insertion of cross-references to the several reporter systems will add to the usefulness of the work.

*W. B. L.*

HANDBOOK ON THE CONSTRUCTION AND INTERPRETATION OF THE LAWS. Hornbook Series. By HENRY CAMPBELL BLACK, M.A. St. Paul: West Publishing Co. 1896.

Mr. Black's new volume on The Construction and Interpretation of the Laws, merits our careful attention. As he says in his preface, "it has been built up solely from primary sources, the decided cases." Continuing, the author also says: "It is no longer assumed to be the province of the judiciary either to quibble away or to evade the mandates of the legislature. On the contrary, modern authorities recognize only one rule as absolutely unvarying, namely, to seek out and enforce the actual meaning and will of the law-making power. Thus the doctrine of 'equitable' interpretation has become obsolete."

The scope of this work can best be illustrated by reference to the contents of some of the leading chapters. Chapter II deals with the Construction of Constitutions, and herein, of uniformity in construction, mandatory and directory provisions, implications, grants of powers, injustice, and inconvenience, and extraneous aids in construction. Chapter IV deals with Statutory Construction, Presumptions, and herein of the presumptions in aid of interpretation, those against unconstitutionality, against inconsistency, against impossibility, against injustice, inconvenience, absurdity, implied repeal, etc. Chapter V deals with Statutory Construction, Words and Phrases, and herein of technical and popular meaning of words, commercial and trade terms, general and special terms, express mention and implied exclusion, relative and qualifying terms, permissive and mandatory terms, etc. Chapter VI deals with intrinsic aids in statutory construction. Chapter VII with extrinsic aids in statutory construction. Chapter IX with strict and liberal construction, and herein of penal statutes, statutes against common right, remedial statutes, statutes against frauds, legislative grants, etc. Chapter XIV deals with construction of codes and revised statutes. Chapter XVI deals with the rule of *Stare Decisis* as applied to statutory construction. Chapter XVIII deals with

the interpretation of judicial decisions and the doctrine of precedents. Mr. Black, in the seventeenth chapter, makes a brief examination of the nature of dicta and the reasons for their lack of authority. His chapter on the Force of Precedents is especially well considered.

The arrangement of this treatise is good. The cases are as well selected and as accurately cited as can be done in text-books. The work is well written. The typography speaks for itself, with the exception that the breadth of the printed page is too great. The index may also be criticised for not being what it should be, although it is far better than that contained in most text-books.

*George Henderson.*

---

THE PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW. By T. J. LAWRENCE, M.A., LL.D., Rector of Girton, and Lecturer in Downing College; Lately University Extension Professor of History and International Law in the University of Chicago, etc., etc. Boston: D. C. Heath & Co. 1895.

There is cause for congratulation that Dr. Lawrence, whose essays have been so helpful to students of International Law, has found the opportunity to issue this timely book. A clear, concise, accurate, readable, and up-to-date treatise has been needed, and this volume possesses these characteristics to a marked degree. The subject is dealt with so as to show on the one hand its relation to ethical principles and on the other its dependence on the facts of history. Of course a book of less than six hundred and fifty pages cannot treat so large and complex a subject at all exhaustively; nevertheless, a surprising amount of information has been crowded into this compass. Where further investigation on particular points is desired, the references in the foot-notes will doubtless be found of assistance.

In spite of all the praise due to this admirable book, it is not perhaps wholly satisfactory from an American point of view. Anglo-American affairs might, even where concise treatment is aimed at, be considered at greater length, and so as to leave a little different impression. For instance, speaking of the Fisheries Treaty of 1888, which aroused so much discussion, the author mentioning its rejection by our Senate, states merely that it contained a "minute and accurate delimitation of the bays within which the inhabitants of the United States were forbidden to fish by the treaty of 1818, and an equally elaborate description of the privileges and duties of American fishing vessels in Canadian ports and harbors." The purpose of this treaty was, indeed, to remove causes of misunderstanding with respect to the treaty of 1818, but it is more than doubtful whether it would have been successful in that direction. Its provisions were stated in such ambiguous terms that its satisfactory interpretation would have been extremely difficult, especially in view of the varying construction put by Canada and the United States on the Treaty of 1818. Moreover, it made